



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/463,904	06/05/1995	JOSEPH B. PHIPPS	ALZ0006-00	9244
81707	7590	12/05/2008		
Diehl Servilla LLC 77 Brant Avenue, Suite 210 Clark, NJ 07066			EXAMINER	
			BOCKELMAN, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

skahaly@dsiplaw.com  
jescobar@dsiplaw.com  
docket@dsiplaw.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 08/463,904	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PHIPPS, JOSEPH B.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Mark W. Bockelman	<b>Art Unit</b> 3766	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mark W. Bockelman. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Scott Servilla. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner inquired as to whether applicant had filed a response to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision mailed 9-15-2008. Counselor stated that this application was abandoned in favor of a continuation application that had already been filed..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mark W Bockelman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766	
---	--